

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/760,975	01/16/2001	Roy Frank Brabson	5577-217	4362
20792	7590 08/15/2005		EXAM	INER
MYERS BIGEL SIBLEY & SAJOVEC			HU, JINSONG	
PO BOX 37428 RALEIGH, NC 27627			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2154	
			DATE MAILED: 08/15/2005	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/760,975	BRABSON ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Jinsong Hu	2154				
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RETHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, and If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by so Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the nearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re. to a reply within the statutory minimum of thirts riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tatute, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1	8 May 2005.					
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-35</u> is/are pending in the applicated 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-35</u> is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction are	drawn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exan	niner.					
0) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the control of the oath or declaration is objected to by the	· -	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Bu * See the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have been received. nents have been received in Appriority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Dotice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> </ol>	ummary (PTO-413) )/Mail Date					
Notice of Draitsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P10-946)     Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB Paper No(s)/Mail Date		formal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. In view of the Appeal Brief filed on 5/18/05, PROSECUTION IS HEREBY REOPENED. New grounds of rejections are set forth below.

To avoid abandonment of the application, appellant must exercise one of the following two options:

- (1) file a reply under 37 CFR 1.111; or,
- (2) request reinstatement of the appeal.

If reinstatement of the appeal is requested, such request must be accompanied by a supplemental appeal brief, but no new amendments, affidavits (37 CFR 1.130, 1.131 or 1.132) or other evidence are permitted. See 37 CFR 1.193(b)(2).

2. Claims 1-35 are presented for examination.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35
 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this
 Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Application/Control Number: 09/760,975

Art Unit: 2154

4. Claims 1-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Abjanic (US 6,732,175).

Page 3

- 5. As per claims 1-2 and 9-13, Abjanic teaches the invention as claimed including a method for providing transactional quality of service, the method comprising the steps of providing transaction service level information for a data transmission transaction to a communication process executing on a data processing system from an application executing on the data processing system requesting the data transmission transaction, wherein the transaction service level information is provided separate from data for the data transmission transaction [225, Fig. 2; col. 3, lines 23-30; col. 6, lines 22-39]; and determining a quality of service level associated with the data transmission transaction based on the transaction service level information received by the communication process from the application [col. 4, lines 3-7; col. 8, lines 5-16 & 53-67; col. 9, lines 56-67].
- 6. As per claims 3-5, Abjanic teaches the step of incorporating comprises incorporating quality of service level information into an Internet protocol (IP) header field of data transmissions associated with the data transmission transaction [col. 6, lines 1-11; col. 6, lines 12-21 &28-39].
- 7. As per claims 6-7, Abjanic teaches the data transmission associated with the data transmission transaction are data transmission transmitting data

Art Unit: 2154

provided with a request from the application for the data transmission transaction [col. 6, lines 12-21 &28-39].

- 8. As per claim 8, Abjanic teaches the data for the data transmission transaction is encrypted [col. 10, lines 1-12].
- 9. As per claims 14-16, Abjanic teaches the steps of determining if a response associated with the data transmission transaction is received by the communication process [col. 6, lines 22-27]; and allocating resources of a data processing system associated with the communication process to process the received response utilizing a quality of service level based on the determined quality of service of the data transmission transaction established for the data transmissions associated with the received response [col. 6, lines 40-62].
- 10. As per claim 17, Abjanic teaches the quality of service level utilized to allocate resources of the data processing system is different from the determined quality of service [col. 7, lines 34-37; col. 8, lines 5-16].
- 11. As per claims 18-20, Abjanic teaches the step of determining a quality of service level comprises the steps of determining if the transaction service level includes an identification of a predefined quality of service level [col. 7, lines 42-49]; and utilizing the predefined quality of service level as the determined quality of service level if the transaction service level includes an identification of the

Art Unit: 2154

predefined quality of service level [col. 6, lines 50-62; col. 7, line 66 – col. 8, line 16].

- 12. As per claims 21 and 22, Abjanic teaches the communication process comprises a TCP/IP kernel and a communication protocol stack [col. 5, lines 28-40].
- 13. As per claims 23-26, Abjanic teaches the invention as claimed including a method for establishing a quality of service level for the transmission of data, comprising: providing an application program interface to a communications process which both receives data to be transmitted by the communication process and receives quality of service information associated with the data to be transmitted so as to establish the quality of service level for the transmission of the received data without reference to the contents of the received data to be transmitted [col. 3, lines 23-30; col. 4, lines 3-7; col. 6, lines 22-39; col. 8, lines 5-16 & 53-67; col. 9, lines 56-67].
- 14. As per claims 27-31, since they are system claims of claims 1, 14, 19 and 21-22, they are rejected for the same basis as claims 1, 14, 19 and 21-22 above.
- 15. As per claims 32 and 34, since they are apparatus and computer program claims of claim 1, they are rejected for the same basis as claim 1 above.

Application/Control Number: 09/760,975

Art Unit: 2154

16. As per claims 33 and 35, since they are system and computer program claims of claim 23, they are rejected for the same basis as claim 23 above.

## Conclusion

17. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure:

Mawhinney (US 6,091,710), Chevalier et al. (US 6,246,669), Kannas et al. (US 6,683,853) and Jin et al. (US 6,917,617) disclose a web service system.

18. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jinsong Hu whose telephone number is (571) 272-3965. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 AM - 5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John A. Follansbee can be reached on (571) 272-3964. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-

Application/Control Number: 09/760,975

Art Unit: 2154

direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jinsong Hu

August 4, 2005

JOHN FOLLANSBEE
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TEPHNOLOGY CENTER 2100